

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37450 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2025 Thana- DURAULI District- Siwan

1. Vyas Yadav @ Vyas Kumar Yadav Son of Hare Ram Yadav Resident of village- Gothi, P.S-Andar, Distt- Siwan.
2. Ravi Shankar Yadav @ Ravi Shankar Kumar Yadav Son of Hare Ram Yadav Resident of village- Gothi, P.S-Andar, Distt- Siwan.
3. Gyash Yadav @Gyas Kumar Yadav Son of Hare Ram Yadav Resident of village- Gothi, P.S-Andar, Distt- Siwan.
4. Baliram Yadav Son of Hare Ram Yadav Resident of village- Gothi, P.S-Andar, Distt- Siwan.
5. Nitish Kumar Yadav @ Nitish Kumar Son of Ramakant Choudhary @ Ramakant Yadav Resident of village- Lakshmipur, P.S- Mairwa, Distt- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
Ms. Kumari Anupam, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-06-2026 1. Heard learned Senior counsel for the petitioners,
Mr. N.K. Agrawal and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 281, 126(2), 109(1) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 1 has antecedent of six cases, petitioner Nos. 2, 3 and 4 have have antecedent of three cases and petitioner No. 5 has antecedent of one case. It is next



submitted that if the criminal antecedent of the petitioners does not persuade the Court to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail, the same would amount to travesty of justice. It is also submitted that the facts of the case also require to be appreciated.

4. It is further submitted that informant alleges that on 12.11.2025 at 12 noon, he was coming back home when an attempt was made to crush him by a Fortuner which was coming from behind in which petitioners were sitting, but he managed to save himself, thereafter accused persons assaulted him by lathi, danda and rod, on alarm people gathered and accused fled.

5. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that though it is alleged that an attempt was made to crush him by a Fortuner which was being driven by petitioner No. 1, but then no injury was caused to him. It is next submitted that it is alleged that petitioners assaulted him by lathi, danda and rod, but then from perusal of the injury report, it would manifest that informant suffered only one cut injury on forehead which has been opined to be simple in nature.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the



anticipatory bail application of the petitioners and submits that though it is submitted that informant suffered one cut injury on forehead, but then injury report is not on record, on which the learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that he has a copy of the injury report and produced the same which is taken on record.

7. At this stage, the learned APP submits that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners may abscond, on which the learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned Senior counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Darouli P.S. Case No. 315 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

9. However, it is made clear that in the event if the



Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioners despite giving assurance to this Court are not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

11. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

