

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40695 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2026 Thana- BELDOUR District- Khagaria

1. Md. Tasleem Son of Late Md. Safik Resident of Village- Jafar Vasa, Ward No. 11, P.S.- Beldour, District- Khagaria
2. Md. Irshad Son of Md. Tasleem Resident of Village- Jafar Vasa, Ward No. 11, P.S.- Beldour, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satyendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 308(5), 352, 351(2) and 351(3) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that 02 biggha 15 kattha land is recorded in the name of his father. The dispute relating to land was adjudicated by the Circle Officer and the order was passed in favour of the informant, but accused persons did not allow him



to harvest the wheat crop but on intervention of administration, the wheat was harvested and given to the informant thereafter accused persons demanded extortion of Rs.10 Lacs or else will not allow him to plough the field. Further, on 09.01.2026, eight named accused persons including the petitioners came and Md. Irshad, Md. Akhtar and Md. Irfan fired, but missed and Md. Akhatar threatened him on his mobile.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is next submitted that in order to give seriousness to the case, it is alleged that named accused persons fired, but then the FIR has not been instituted under the Arms Act. It is also submitted that petitioner no.1 purchased the land in dispute from Md. Akhatar vide registered sale deed dated 28.05.2022 (Annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application) and is in possession of the land but informant started disturbing his possession since 2025, as such, an altercation on the date of occurrence had taken place leading to the institution of the instant false case. It is further submitted that from perusal of the



allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation is alleged against the petitioners.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Beldour P. S. Case No.11 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

