

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39885 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2026 Thana- ALAMGANJ District- Patna

Radhe @ Jitendra Paswan S/O Basudev Paswan R/O Danka Imali, P.S-
Alamganj, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kritu Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in Alamganj P. S. Case No.08 of 2026, Special (NDPS)
Case No.62 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 8(c), 21(b), 22 and 29 of the NDPS Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of five cases and the informant
alleges that Jitendra was apprehended with 29.30 grams of
smack and Rs.4,050/- and the apprehended accused disclosed
that the smack was supplied to him by the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the



allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and his name transpired based on confessional statement of apprehended accused in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that no doubt, name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused in police custody, but then petitioner has antecedent of five cases out of which four cases are under serious sections of I.P.C. and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner may abscond or try to tamper with the evidence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

