

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38402 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-453 Year-2025 Thana- NASRIGANJ District- Rohtas

1. Damodar Singh Son of Suresh Singh @ Ramsures Singh Resident of Village- Lala Atimi, P.S.- Nasariganj, District- Rohtas.
2. Aman Raj @ Mulayam Son of Damodar Singh Resident of Village- Lala Atimi, P.S.- Nasariganj, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kant, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-06-2026 Heard Mr. Ravi Kant, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioners seek bail, who are in custody since 17.02.2026, in connection with Nasariganj P.S. Case No. 453 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 27.12.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 103, 61(2) of B.N.S. 2023, and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused persons including these petitioners have assaulted the informant and her husband by means of lathi, danda and pistol due to which a bullet hit the husband of the informant resulting into his



death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in the present case. Although the petitioners are named in the F.I.R. but from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act or firing attributed against these petitioners rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including these petitioners. He further submits that co-accused persons namely, Indu Devi @ Indu Singh, and others have been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 15338 of 2026, another co-accused persons namely, Raju Singh @ Raju Kumar, Lal Babu Singh and Vinay Kumar Singh @ Vinay Singh have been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 07.05.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 25353 of 2026 and Cr. Misc. No. 29289 of 2026, and a number of co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Court. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioners and the petitioners are in custody since 17.02.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the



petitioners and submits that the Petitioner No. 1 carries two more cases other than the present one but fairly submits that out of two cases, the Petitioner No. 1 is on bail in one case and one case is pending for consideration before the competent Court of Law and Petitioner No. 2. carries one more case other than the present one.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub- Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj, Rohtas in connection with Nasariganj P.S. Case No. 453 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

