

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36906 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2026 Thana- PHULWARISHARIF District- Patna

Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Kumar Chaurasiya Son of Satrudhan Prasad Chaurasiya
Resident of Adarsh Nagar, PS- Phulwari, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Akhauri Kamal Kishore Sahay
		Mr.Rahul Raj
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in
a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 223,
308(3), 119(2), 324(4)(5), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
informant alleges that he has filed Title Suit No.5703 of 2024,
which is pending adjudication in the Court of the learned Sub-
Judge-VIII, Patna and in the title suit, the accused persons
including the petitioner are descendants and despite stay granted
by the Court, the accused persons are proceeding with
construction work on the land and the police does not take



action, thus Gholtan, Lakri and Gopal have blocked the gate of the informant's house and have made an auto stand.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the present criminal case has been instituted only to coerce the petitioner into submission so that he does not pursue with the title suit which is pending adjudication. It is next submitted that if what has been alleged is a correct fact in the FIR, in that event, the informant ought to have filed an application before the learned Sub-Judge for initiating a proceeding of contempt against the petitioner and those who violated the order of stay as alleged in the FIR, but instead of resorting to procedure as envisaged in law, the present criminal case has been instituted with an ulterior motive. It is also submitted that petitioner is a railway employee and the land in dispute belongs to the wife of the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail



on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Phulwarisharif P. S. Case No.116 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

