

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36791 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-838 Year-2025 Thana- RAMKRISHNANAGAR District- Patna

Satyam Kumar @ Md Saddam @ Md Saddam Ansari S/O Md. Aslam Ansari
R/O Vill.- Mirza Chauki, Tetriya Gaon, P.S- Mirza Chauki, Dist- Sahebganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The state of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhinay Raj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2026 Heard Mr. Abhinay Raj, learned counsel for the

petitioner as well as Mr. Shailendra Kumar, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
05.10.2025 in connection with Ram Krishna Nagar P.S. Case
No. 838 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 04.10.2025 for the offences
punishable under Section 137(2), 96 of Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita,
2023 and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act,
2018.

3. According to the prosecution case, the informant
alleged that his daughter had gone missing. The informant's
nephew, Sonu Kumar, stated that he had seen her with the
petitioner. When questioned, the petitioner refused to disclose
any information regarding her whereabouts. Subsequently, the



police subjected the petitioner to a breath analyzer test, which showed an alcohol concentration of 107.9 mg/100 ml.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that the victim girl was recovered and her statement was recorded under Section 183 BNSS in which she stated that co-accused, Sonu Kumar had abducted her. The petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and further taking into account that the petitioner has clean antecedent and that the victim, in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, did not make any allegation against the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise Judge-2nd, Patna in connection with Ram Krishna Nagar P.S. Case No. 838 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

