

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48499 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-237 Year-2018 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

Mantu Kumar @ Mantu Yadav Son of Late Motilal Yadav Resident of Village  
- Bahrar, P.S.- Sasaram (Muffasil), District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Kumar Singh  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akbar Ali

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      17-07-2026              1.    Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State, Sri Akbar Ali.

2.    The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and  
34 of the Bihar Excise Act.

3.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act as  
would manifest from supplementary affidavit and allegation is  
of recovery of 10 litres of liquor from a motorcycle and 0.9  
litres of liquor from another motorcycle.

4.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of  
any of the seized motorcycles and he came to be implicated



based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone without holding a proper investigation.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on Provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sasaram Muffasil P.S. Case No. 237 of 2018 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one



case in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

