

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38798 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-594 Year-2025 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

Suman Singh @ Suman Kumar S/o Ramanuj Singh R/o Village - Dariyapur,
P.S. - Warisaliganj, Distt. - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 27-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 594 of 2025 dated 19.11.2025 registered for the offenses punishable under Section 303(2) of the B.N.S., Section 4 of Mines & Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, Sections 11 and 43 of Bihar Mineral (Concession, Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transportation and Storage) Rules, 2019 and Section 15 of Environment Protection Act, 1986.

3. As per the allegation, a raid was conducted in the Sakri River and adjoining areas by the Mining Inspector. During the course of said raid, two pits allegedly created by illegal sand excavation was found. It has further been alleged that a total



quantity of 18,450 cubic feet of sand was illegally excavated. It has also been alleged that the villagers present there, have stated that the petitioner along with other co-accused persons have formed a group and they do illegal excavation of sand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegations against the petitioner are vague. It has further been submitted that the local enemical persons of the village have named the petitioner to be one of the persons who have been illegally extracting the sand. It has further been submitted that petitioner was never seen excavating the sand and he has been made accused only on the basis of enmity. It has also been submitted that although the petitioner was made accused in two other cases, but he is on bail in those cases.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of material against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail



on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IVth, Nawada, in connection with Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 594 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

