

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36304 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2026 Thana- Haraiya District- East Champaran

Rakesh Singh S/O Late Nagendra Singh R/O Village- Madhopur, P.S.- Mejar-
ganj, Distt.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Amitesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual mode.

2. A prayer for bail has been made on behalf of the pe-
titioner in connection with Haraiya P.S. Case no. 23 of 2026 reg-
istered under section 317(5), 318(4), 61(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2),
111, 178, 179, 180, 181 and 3(5) of BNS.

3. On disclosure made by apprehended accused Tilak
Bahadur Thing and Saroj Kumar, recovery of huge quantity of
counterfit Indian and nepali notes from the house of one Pramod
Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it
would be evident from the FIR that two persons, namely, Tilak
Bahadur Thing and Saroj Kumar were intercepted and counter-
feit Indian and Nepali currency notes were recovered from their



possession and it is on their disclosure, the premises of one Pramod Kumar was raided and from where counterfeit Nepali currency notes were recovered and 8 persons were arrested from the said house of Pramod Kumar including the present petitioner. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused persons, namely, Saheb Kumar @ Lal and Raushan Kumar, who were also arrested from the house of Pramod Kumar, have already been granted the privilege of bail by a coordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 13.05.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 24440 of 2026 (Annexure- 2). Further, there is no independent witness to the search and seizure indicating violation of mandatory provisions of seizure. Petitioner is in custody since 02.02.2026 and he undertakes to co-operate in the case/trial. Charge-sheet has already been submitted in this case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State on the ground that petitioner has several criminal antecedents. However, in response to the same, it is submitted that all the antecedents are of the year 1999-2016 and after 2016 there is not a single case reported against this petitioner and he is on bail in all the said cases.

6. Taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that sim-



ilarly situated co-accused persons have already been granted bail vide aforesaid order dated 13.05.2026, petitioner also undertakes to co-operate in the trial and charge-sheet has been submitted, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Haraiya P.S. Case no. 23 of 2026 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Court concerned where the case is pending/successor Court on the following conditions:-

(I) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be the family member/close relative of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall remain physically present in the learned trial Court on each date and shall cooperate in the trial. In case the petitioner is absent on any single date without sufficient reasons, the learned trial Court would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

