

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9272 of 2026

=====

Sita Ram Mahto son of Late Prahalad Mahto, Resident of village- Mangarpal Nuran, P.O.- Tarwa Mangarpal, P.S Dariyapur in the district of Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Addl.Chief Secretary, Department of Land Revenue and Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Saran at Chhapra.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chhapra.
4. The Chairman, Arbitration Tribunal- cum- Commissioner, Saran Commissioner, Saran at Chhapra.
5. The Zonal Chairman, NHAI, Office at Patna (Bihar).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shiv Shankar Prasad Yadav, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (09)
For the NHAI	:	Dr. Maurya Vijay Chandra, Advocate
		Mr. Gaurav Govinda, Advocate
		Ms. Preety Ranjan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 Heard Mr. Shiv Shankar Prasad Yadav, learned counsel

for the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“to direct the respondent no. 04 in
particular to dispose of the Grievance-
Representation i.e. pending before him to its logical
end with regard to the case made out by the
petitioner that his dwelling house built on the plot
no. 51 under the Khata no. 140 in the Mauza of
Tarwa-Mangarpal of Dariyapur block in the district
of Saran was acquired for the construction of NH-
139 (W) under Bharat Mala project-2025, but the*



Award in the appropriate valuation has not been paid to him, so he is aggrieved, and has preferred the present writ application, vide the Grievance-Representation dated 10.03.2026 as an annexure to the writ petition.”

3. The details of the land stands incorporated in the prayer portion itself and needs no repetition.

4. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an appropriate petition shall be filed before the Arbitrator-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Saran at Chapra in next four weeks.

5. Learned State counsel submits that if such petition is filed within time, it shall be taken to its logical conclusion.

6. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority, as recorded above, in next four weeks and if such petition is filed, after noticing/hearing all the parties, it is expected that the same will be taken to its logical conclusion by a reasoned order.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

