IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3608 of 2021

CNR No. BRHC010751342020

==========

Md. Alam S/o - Late Md. Muslim Resident of village - Indarpur, Ward no. - 11, P.S. -0 Chhatapur, District- Supaul, Bihar.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, State Government of Bihar.
2. The Collector (D.M.), Distt. - Supaul.
3. The D.C.L.R. Tribeniganj, Distt. - Supaul.
4. The Circle officer (c.o), Chhatarpur, Distt. - Supaul.
5. Md. Ibrahim S/o - Late Md. Shekh Yusuf, R/o - village - Indarpur, P.S. - Chhatapur, Distt. - Supaul.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9942 of 2022

CNR No. BRHC010751342020

==========

Sanjida Khatoon Daughter of Md. Ibrahim and Wife of Md. Mojim Resident of Village Inderpur, P.O.-Hariharpur, Police Station-Chhatapur, District-Supaul.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, District-Supaul
4. The Additional Collector (Public Grievances Redressal), District-Supaul.
5. The Sub Divisional Public Grievances Redressal Officer, Triveniganj, District-Supaul
6. The Anchal Adhikari, Chhatapur, District-Supaul
7. Md. Alam Son of Late Muslim, Residentof Village-Inderpur, P.O.-Hariharpur, P.S.-Chhatapur, District-Supaul.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3608 of 2021)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Sandesh Roy, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Anukriti Jaipuriyar, S.C.15

WEB COPY

Mr. Utsav, A.C. to S.C.15
(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9942 of 2022)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bidhanesh Misra, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, G.P.26
Mr. Amiya Kunal, A.C. to G.P.26

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

6 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the State.

Ref: C.W.J.C. No. 3608 of 2021

2. After arguing at length, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition in order to follow his remedy before the appropriate authority.

3. In view of the submission made on behalf of the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

4. It is expected that the authorities concerned will consider his application as expeditiously as possible and shall dispose it of in accordance with law.

Ref: C.W.J.C. No. 9942 of 2022

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the fact that the civil suit is pending and the property is disputed and the said shall be only adjudicated by a competent civil Court.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently argued that the writ is not maintainable in view of the fact that the civil suit is pending.

WEB COPY

7. Having heard the parties and taking into consideration the fact that the civil suit is pending, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the present writ petition.

8. The present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the parties to approach the civil Court for appropriate remedy.

(Alok Kumar, J)

Smriti/-

U			

WEB COPY