

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37590 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-133 Year-2025 Thana- BASOPATTI District- Madhubani

Mansur Ansari @ Md. Mansur Ansari Son of Amsul Ansari Resident of
Village- Naya Tol Duhbi, Bazar, P.S.- Basopatti, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md Soban Asghar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Pushpa Sinha-1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-06-2026 Heard Mr. Md Soban Asghar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mrs. Pushpa Sinha-1, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
25.09.2025, in connection with Sessions Trial No. 57 of 2026
arising out of Basopatti P.S. Case No. 133 of 2025, F.I.R. dated
11.06.2025 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 189(2), 126(2), 115(2), 103(2), 109 of the B.N.S.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner and other
co-accused persons are alleged to have reached at the informant
house and assaulted to the informant and his family members by
lathi, danda, farsa, sword due to which some persons got
injured.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
earlier the petitioner has been granted bail vide order dated



15.01.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 88107 of 2025 but the petitioner has not furnished his bail bond and he has filed the modification application before this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 18313 of 2026 but the same was dismissed vide order dated 18.03.2026. It appears from Annexure-P1, the petitioner has been granted bail but he has concealed his criminal antecedent and due to this reason the bail bond of the petitioner had not been furnished. The petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. Although the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault alleged against the petitioner rather the allegation are general and omnibus in nature. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 25.09.2025.

6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that earlier the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent but fairly submits that the petitioner



carries two more cases other than the present one in which he is on bail in the pending matters.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Principal Sessions Judge, Madhubani in connection with Sessions Trial No. 57 of 2026 arising out of Basopatti P.S. Case No. 133 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the



acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

