

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39150 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-246 Year-2025 Thana- PARBATTa District- Khagaria

=====

Anshu Kumar @ Dablu Kumar @ Dablu S/o Sapan Kumar Chaudhary R/o
Village - Bharso, P.S - Parbatta, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhar Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 Heard Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, learned Advocate

for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for

the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Parbatta P.S. Case No. 246 of 2025, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 303(2) of the BNS.

3. In the night of 02.07.2025, some unknown thieves
have committed theft in several houses and taken away mobile
phones, valuables and cash of Rs.19000/-.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner taking this
Court through the FIR contended that undisputedly the FIR has
been instituted against unknown thieves. However, during
course of investigation one Abhishek Kumar @ Bajrangi was
apprehended by the police and on the confessional statement of



said co-accused, the name of the petitioner has sprung up. It is a matter of fact that no stolen article has been recovered from the house of the petitioner, save and except one black colour touch screen mobile phone, which is not the subject matter of crime and owned by the petitioner. The reason behind false implication of the petitioner in the present case is said to be one past criminal antecedent as has been disclosed in para-3. It is further contended that during the course of investigation no other cogent material has collected which suggests his complicity. Moreover, the petitioner is a student and has been pursuing his study and he undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the disclosure of the name of the petitioner by the apprehended person and recovery of some suspected stolen article clearly suggests the involvement of the petitioner.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the petitioner is a student aged about 18 years and there is no cogent material, except the confessional statement of co-accused person, let the petitioner abovenamed



be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM 1st Khagaria in connection with Parbatta P.S. Case No. 246 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

