

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No 36360 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2026 Thana- ASHTHAWAN District- Nalanda

1. Pintu Yadav Son of Chamru Yadav Resident of Village- Chakpar, P.S.- Asthawan, District- Nalanda (Bihar)
2. Sanjay Yadav Son of Chamru Yadav Resident of Village- Chakpar, P.S.- Asthawan, District- Nalanda (Bihar)
3. Kavindra Yadav Son of Late Munsu Yadav Resident of Village- Ugawan, P.S.- Asthawan, District- Nalanda (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Sanjeev Kr Mishra, Sr Advocate with Mr Pramod Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr Amitesh Kr, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

3 22-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned APP.

2 At the outset, learned senior counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No 3 Kavindra Yadav, during the pendency of this application, has been arrested, as such the present application has become infructuous. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw this application as far as petitioner No 3 Kavindra Yadav is concerned.

3 Permission accorded.

4 This application is dismissed as infructuous as far as petitioner No 3 Kavindra Yadav is concerned.



5 This application has been filed on behalf of the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Asthawan PS Case No 95 of 2026 dated 02.04.2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191 (2), 190, 126 (2), 329 (3), 109 (1), 351 (2) of the BNS and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

6 The allegation in the FIR is that the petitioners indiscriminately fired at the informant.

7 The learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that although allegation of indiscriminate firing is there in the FIR but no body has sustained any injury and he has further submitted that even in the FIR, motive, for what firing has been made, is not mentioned. As such, offence under Section 109 of the BNS is not made out. He has further submitted that wife of petitioner No 2 has lodged one FIR against the informant and others and this case is nothing but a case to put pressure on the petitioners' side to compromise the case lodged by the wife of petitioner No 2.

8 The learned counsel for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

9 Having considered the rival submissions and the fact that there is no injury as well as the fact that earlier one FIR



has been lodged by the petitioners' side on the informant's side,
I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

10 Let petitioner No 1 Pintu Yadav and petitioner No
2 Sanjay Yadav above named, in the event of their
arrest/surrender within a period of four weeks from today, be
released on bail on each of them furnishing bonds of Rs
10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Nalanda
(Biharsharif) in Asthawan PS Case No 95 of 2026 dated
02.04.2026 subject to all conditions as laid down under Section
482 (2) of the BNSS.

11 This application is allowed.

(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)

M.E.H./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

