

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 40417 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-596 Year-2025 Thana- RAMKRISHNANAGAR District- Patna

Prem Prakash Patel Son of Late Harihar Prasad Resident of Nahub, P.S.-
Rajgir, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukul Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Md. Fahimuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Ramkrishnanagar P.S. Case No.596 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 318(2), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340, 61(2)(a), 3(5), 111(3), 114(4), 111(5), 111(6) and 117(7) Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 03.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 83502 of 2025.

4. The police intercepted a person riding a



motorcycle, who disclosed his name as Subodh Kumar Yadav. Upon search, two admit cards of Bihar Police Constable recruitment examination were found. Thereafter, police enquired about the aforesaid admit cards and when no satisfactory explanation was given by the said person, he was arrested and his flat was also searched. Upon the search of the flat, petitioner was found to be present in the said flat and there are several admit cards, electronic instruments related to the Bihar Police Constable Service recruitment examination were recovered from the said flat. The petitioner is in custody since 19.07.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the trial, there has been no progress, therefore, the petitioner deserves bail.

6. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner is involved in managing the examination on behalf of gullible candidates after accepting money and the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial.

9. It is, however, clarified that the observations made



herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

