IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36654 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-237 Year-2024 Thana- CHAUSA District- Madhepura

==

Saurav Kumar @ Sourav Kumar son of Ashok Singh Resident Of Village - Ward No 12, Gramadawani Pipra, PS -Chautham, District- Khagaria

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Pooja Prasad, Adv
	:	Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Natraj Verma, Adv
	:	Mr. Sachin, Adv

==

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and apprehending his arrest in connection with Chausa P.S. Case No. 237 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of the BNS.

3. The allegation against petitioner is to misappropriate the sum of amount of Rs.2,56,076/- as collected from total of 43 customers on behalf of finance company M/s. L&T Finance and deposited the same with his own bank account.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that admittedly petitioner was authorized to collect money from the customer. It is submitted that no complaint was made by any of such customers against petitioner

and just to settle certain official disputes the Branch Manager (informant) raised false allegations against the petitioner without having any cogent materials. Arguing further, it is submitted that petitioner for the present is ready to deposit half of the total amount i.e., Rs. 1,28,038/- with the company of informant M/s. L&T Finance, subject to the outcome of the case. While concluding argument, it is submitted that above named petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel for the informant company while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that the fact came to the knowledge of the company only when several customers raised complaint in writing that amounts as collected by this petitioner on behalf of company was not deposited with company. It is submitted that, it is clearly a case of criminal misappropriation and breach of trust, however he didn't oppose the submission as advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner as he is ready to pay half of the alleged misappropriated amount as discussed aforesaid.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as admittedly petitioner was authorized to collect money from different customers, coupled with the fact as petitioner himself offered to deposit half of the misappropriated

amount i.e., Rs.1,28,038/-, accordingly petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, is directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Madhepura/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Chausa P.S. Case No. 237 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS with further condition:-

(i) Bail bond of petitioner shall be accepted only on showing proof that petitioner had deposited sum of Rs.1,28,038/- with informant company M/s. L&T Finance.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	