

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37906 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-530 Year-2025 Thana- BALIYA District- Begusarai

=====

Juli Kumari W/o Nitesh Yadav Resident of Village - Nagarpara Uttari
Narayanpur, Police Station - Bhawanipur, District - Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amrendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 140(3),
103, 238, 61(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The I.O. of the case, in compliance of the order
dated 23.06.2026 is present in the Court along with a copy of
the case diary and postmortem report.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman
aged about 20 years and the informant alleges that his brother
on 27.11.2025 left his house at 7.45 A.M. and did not return
home till 01.12.2025 and his mobile is also switched off.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



from perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that FIR has been instituted against unknown accused. It is next submitted that during course of investigation, it transpired that petitioner was in a relationship with the deceased. It is next submitted that petitioner was married to one Nitish but then in the investigation, it transpired that petitioner was having an extra marital relation. It is further submitted that on 27.11.2025 at 11.52 A.M. the victim called the petitioner, further the dead body of the brother of the informant was recovered on 04.12.2025 with mark of injury. It is submitted that since petitioner was having an affair with the deceased, as such she came to be implicated in the instant case. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, no material transpired connecting the petitioner with the offence except that petitioner and the informant were in a relationship and used to talk. It is further submitted that the police suspected that brothers of the petitioner along with her husband might have been involved in the occurrence of killing of the deceased. It is next submitted that one of the brothers of the petitioner, namely, Prince has been apprehended and sent to judicial custody. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the



investigation to prove her innocence.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner but then is not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that during the course of investigation, no material transpired connecting the petitioner with the offence of murder of the deceased except that petitioner and the deceased were in a relationship. Learned APP fairly submits that during the course of investigation no material till date has come connecting the petitioner with the offence except her relationship with the deceased.

7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a woman, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ballia P.S. Case No.530 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.



8. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner despite giving assurance to this court is not co-operating in the investigation in that event the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. The personal appearance of the I.O. of the case is dispensed with.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

