

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36811 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2024 Thana- Pahelja P.S. District- Saran

1. Raj Birendra Singh S/O Late Kapilnath Singh Resident of Gangajal Barka Bagicha, P.S.- Sonapur, Dist.-Saran
2. Raj Rajiv S/O Late Devnath Singh Resident of Gangajal Barka Bagicha, P.S.- Sonapur, Dist.-Saran
3. Kala Kalagya S/O Late Devnath Singh Resident of Gangajal Barka Bagicha, P.S.- Sonapur, Dist.-Saran
4. Dilip Kumar Singh S/O Sarvanand Singh Resident of Gangajal Barka Bagicha, P.S.- Sonapur, Dist.-Saran
5. Durajya Singh S/O Late Bidur Singh Resident of Kharika, P.S.- Sonapur, Dist.-Saran

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr.Swarna Roy, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr.Bharat Bhushan, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 09-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 341, 323, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per FIR, informant alleged that accused persons fraudulently prepared forged documents and got the informant's land transferred in their names without his knowledge or consent. When informant came to know about the alleged fraudulent mutation, he confronted the accused persons, who assaulted him and threatened him with dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that these petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioners, by paying consideration amount, purchased the land in question, from its rightful owner, namely, Baban Singh. Thereafter, they got the land mutated and



started paying rent to the Government. Complainant, with motive to grab the purchased land, has filed this case with false and concocted allegation against the petitioners. That apart, the dispute is of civil nature and if there is dispute of title of the land, he may file title suit. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State and the complainant opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts of the case, prayer for bail of these petitioners is allowed. In the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let these petitioners, named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saran at Chapra in Pahleja Police Station Case No. 111 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shashi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

