

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35627 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2026 Thana- PATEPUR District- Vaishali

Sharwan Sahani @ Shravan Sahni Son of Devraj Sahani Resident of Village-
Mansurpur Halaiya, Shahpur Khurd, P.S.- Kathara (Goraul), District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 01-06-2026 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State through

virtual mode.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Patepur P.S. Case No. 08 of 2026, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 317(4) and 317(5) of the
B.N.S., 2023.

3. The police on a secret information that some
persons are involved in sale and purchase of part of the stolen
trucks and other materials conducted raid at Lakki Scrap and
Broken Kawari Shop. In course of search, the police found
various scrap and parts of the truck kept on a 06 wheeler truck
bearing Registration No. BR-06-GF-0354. The police also
recovered gas cutter, oxygen gas cylinder and other materials of



different trucks, which are said to have been stolen.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that only on account of he being owner of the truck bearing Registration No. BR-06-GF-0354, which is used for the commercial purpose and was booked by Lakki Scrap and Broken Kawari Shop, his name has been implicated in this case. The petitioner had never been informed that the articles/materials, which were transported from *Kawari* Shop to different places were stolen properties. Admittedly, the truck, in question, belongs to the petitioner, which was used for the purposes of transportation of goods on payment of rent. During the course of investigation, no materials have collected, which suggest the complicity of the petitioner in the crime of sale and purchase of stolen materials/goods. The petitioner is a man of fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will fully co-operate in the investigation or in the proceeding of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that the stolen property was recovered from the truck of the petitioner and, as such, his complicity cannot be ruled out.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of



the fact that the petitioner is owner of the truck, in question, which is being used for the commercial purposes for transportation of goods from one destination to another on payment of rent, besides lack of any materials collected during the course of investigation, suggesting his complicity in the crime, as also the fair antecedent of the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-II, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Patepur P.S. Case No. 08 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

