

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36202 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-497 Year-2025 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

=====

Anant Kumar Son of Suresh Prasad Yadav @ Suresh Prasad R/O Vill.-
Manjhauri, P.O.- Makhdumpur, P.S.- Tankuppa, District- Gaya, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhijeet Abhigyan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 02-06-2026 The Court proceeding is being conducted through
virtual mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection
with Bodh Gaya P.S. Case No. 497 of 2025 lodged on
10.07.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4),
319(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in
the Court of C.J.M., Gaya.

4. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against
the present petitioner and one unknown person. It has been
alleged that one unknown person appeared in the BMT-III
running test in place of petitioner and he was identified by the
patrolling Magistrate. But, when he was sent for check up, then
the said unknown accused fled away and being a Nodal Officer,
the case has been lodged against the said unknown person and



against the petitioner on whose instance, the said unknown person has appeared.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and the accused who was caught by the police there, fled away. He submits that the petitioner has unnecessarily been made accused in this case. He also submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it is the petitioner on whose instance, the said forged candidate has appeared by committing forgery against the system and it is the petitioner who is the beneficiary of the said fraud.

7. As such, in the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

