

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35532 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2025 Thana- NADI District- Supaul

=====

Jito Mukhiya @ Jiti Mukhiya S/o Awadh Mukhiya Resident of Village-
Morkiahi, Ward No. 10, P.S.- Supaul Nadi (Renerine), District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Murari Narain Chaudhary, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Gulnar Begum, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 109, 115(2), 126(2),
352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the
F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, abused
and assaulted informant and his family members as a result of
which, they sustained multiple injuries and mother of informant
died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence.
Both parties are agnates and due to long standing enmity, this
false and concocted case has been lodged. Moreover, charge-



sheet has already been submitted and petitioner, having no criminal antecedents, is in custody since 29.04.2025.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific accusation that he, along with other co-accused persons, assaulted informant and his family members due to which, mother of informant died. There is specific allegation that this petitioner assaulted on the head of mother of informant with *lathi*.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and fact that petitioner is one of the assailant of the deceased, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2025, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

