

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38996 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2023 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

=====

AMIT KUMAR @ PAPALU @ PAPLU Son of Anil Singh @ Anil Kumar
Singh R/o Vill.- Madhopur Govind, P.S.- Chakia, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Suraj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-07-2026 Heard Mr.Suraj Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr.Abhay Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chakia P.S.Case No.220 of 2023,FIR dated 15.06.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a),32,41(1) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act.

3. Recovery is of 4392.360 liters of English liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated in the present case. It appears from the FIR as well as the seizure list that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made



from two Trucks in question and petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the Trucks in question and petitioner has been made accused in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion. As per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner was fled away from the place of occurrence on the basis of secret information. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C./Section 103 of BNSS, 2023. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from two Trucks in question and petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the Trucks in question, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No.3, I/c Civil Court, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Chakia P.S.Case No.220 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move



for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

