

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1095 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2026 Thana- MANER District- Patna

Vikash Kumar S/o Kamla Paswan R/o village - Ahiapur, Gyaspur, P.O. -
Maner, P.S.- Maner, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Home (Police Deptt.), Patna, Bihar
2. District Magistrate, Patna, Bihar
3. Director General of Police, Patna, Bihar
4. Inspector General of Police, Patna, Bihar
5. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna, Bihar
6. Superintendent of Police, West, Patna, Bihar
7. The SHO, Maner Police Station, Patna, Bihar
8. Devendra Kumar S/o Chandeshwar Mahto R/o vill - Ahiapur, Gyaspur, P.S.- Maner, Distt.- Patna, Bihar
9. Anita Devi W/o Devendra Kumar R/o vill - Ahiapur, Gyaspur, P.S.- Maner, Distt.- Patna, Bihar
10. Soni Kumari W/o Vikash Kumar, D/o Devendra Kumar R/o vill - Ahiapur, Gyaspur, P.S.- Maner, Distt.- Patna, Bihar

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Munish Kumar, Advocate Ms. Minakshi Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar, AAG-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KUMAR MANISH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)

3 17-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 15.06.2026, Respondent
No. 10, namely, Soni Kumari has been produced by Mr. Sanjay
Kumar Chaudhary, S.I. of Maner Police Station. Respondent



Nos. 8 and 9 are also present with the Respondent No. 10. They are the parents of Respondent No. 10.

3. The petitioner Vikash Kumar and his uncle Manoj Kumar are present in person with their lawyer.

4. In presence of learned counsel for the parties, this Court has interacted with Soni Kumari (Respondent No. 10) who is a student of Graduation Second Year. She has categorically stated that she is living with her parents and desires to stay with them.

5. For purpose of considering the present writ application, we are not concerned with other aspects of the matter which have been contended by the petitioner and the private respondents. Suffice is to say that the Respondent No. 10 is not under confinement.

6. In such circumstance, this writ application cannot proceed further. It is disposed of as having become infructuous.

7. Before we part with this order, it is recorded that the petitioner has assured this Court that he will return the mobile phone and the documents of Soni Kumari (Respondent No. 10) today itself through his Uncle Manoj Kumar. Mr. Manoj Kumar is also present and has assured that he will make available the mobile phone and the documents of the



Respondent No. 10 immediately after reaching home.

8. This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

(Kumar Manish, J)

lekhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

