

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38132 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-423 Year-2023 Thana- PAHARPUR District- East Champaran

1. Jagdam Thakur Son of Late Chokat Thakur Resident of Village- Sareyan Banjari Patti, P.S.- Paharpur, District- East Champaran.
2. Lalmuni Devi @ Umarawati Devi Wife of Subhash Thakur Resident of Village- Sareyan Banjari Patti, P.S.- Paharpur, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Vibhuti Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Tapeshwar Sharma, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest in connection with Paharpur P.S. Case No. 423 of 2023 instituted under Sections 341, 342, 323, 324, 325, 307, 379, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The petitioners and others are said to have assaulted the victim causing grievous injury because of the fact that the victim was constructing his house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is a civil dispute and there is general and omnibus



allegation.

5. So far as petitioner no. 2 is concerned, there is general and omnibus allegation against her and also considering the fact that she is a woman, therefore, her prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

6. Accordingly, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioner no. 2 namely Lalmuni Devi @ Umarawati Devi, be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM, 9th East Champaran, Motihari/ concerned Court in connection with Paharpur P.S. Case No. 423 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482 of the BNSS/ 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

7. So far as petitioner no. 1 is concerned, he along with others is said to have assaulted the victim causing grievous injury. Considering the aforesaid, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner no. 1 is rejected.

8. Accordingly, this application is partly allowed.

9. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioners at



any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express
any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

