

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36611 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2026 Thana- KHAGARIA District- Khagaria

Ravin Yadav @ Ravin Kumar S/o Parsuram Yadav @ Shyam Vihari Yadav
Resident Of Village -Gangiya, Po- Tetarabad, Ps- Gangaur. Dist- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Kishor Poddar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Veena Kumari Jaiswal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-06-2026 Heard Mr. Jai Kishor Poddar, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Ms. Veena Kumari Jaiswal, learned APP for
the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
28.01.2026, in connection with Khagaria (Gangaur) P.S. Case
No. 26 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 19.01.2026 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 329(4),
352, 351(2) & (3) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he while
firing pistol threatened to kill the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in
the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not



committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. From perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that due to some land dispute the present occurrence had taken place and both the parties are agnates to each other and there is case and counter case between the parties. Further submits that from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that there is specific allegation of firing is attributed against co-accused persons, namely, Chitranjan Yadav, Nitish Kjumar and Shyam Bihari Yadav and as per allegation against the petitioner that he has fired in the air. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 28.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has participated in the present crime in question and apart from aforesaid the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria in connection with Khagaria (Gangaur) P.S. Case No. 26 of 2026, subject to the



following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

