

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37815 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2026 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

1. Dhuruv Mukhiya Son of Dukhan Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
2. Rup Narayan Mukhiya Son of Dukhan Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
3. Bhageshwar Mukhiya son of Dukhan Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
4. Jay Narayan @ JayCharan Mukhiya son of Gujur Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
5. Budhan Mukhiya son of Bindeshwar Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
6. Renu Devi @ Manjhu Devi Wife of Dhuruv Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
7. Mina Devi Wife of Rup Narayan Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
8. Sangita Devi @ Sunarpati Devi Wife of Budhan Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari
9. Sunarpati Devi wife of Bhageshwar Mukhiya Resident at village- Kuwarpur Bintoli, Ps- Pipra, Dist- East Champaran Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sumit Kumar Gupta
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur
Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 166 of 2026 registered for the offence under Section 30(a), 41(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act, 2022.



3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner, prays for time to file supplementary affidavit in this case for bringing on record the criminal antecedent of all the petitioners as in the petition, it has been mentioned that all the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

4. In the opinion of this Court, the business of suppressing the criminal antecedent while filing of the bail application and subsequently, bringing the criminal antecedents on record by way of filing supplementary affidavit cannot be allowed by this Court.

5. In view of the above, this application is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh bail application mentioning their criminal antecedent/s.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

