

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42326 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2008 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

=====

Rajan Rajwansi S/O Ramkaran Rawani @ Ramkaran Rajwansi Resident Of
Village- Jalpura, P.S.- Haspura, Dist.- Aurangabad- 824120

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR PATNA

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arya Achint, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

9 06-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Haspura P.S. Case No. 66 of 2008 dated 18.05.2008 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B) and 201 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant's
daughter namely, Tetri Devi was married to Amrendra Ranwansi
in the year 2002. It is further alleged that the daughter of the
informant was murdered by her in-laws and cremated the dead
body. It is further alleged that the said occurrence had taken
place on 17.05.2008.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner work as labourer in Rajasthan and he was not aware



of the case lodged against him in the year 2008 and hence he could not surrender before the learned trial court. It has further been submitted that the other co-accused persons were tried and they have been acquitted from the learned court below by the judgment and order dated 19.11.2024. It has further been submitted that the petitioner happens to be the brother-in-law of the deceased and had no connection with the said offence. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he is in custody since 19.02.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and has pointed out that the petitioner had absconded for more than 17 years of the incident and he had earlier moved this Court for grant of anticipatory bail twice but both the bail applications were rejected by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 15.03.2010 and 06.08.2012 respectively. Learned A.P.P. has further submitted that on account of trial of the other accused persons also got delay and ultimately the other accused persons were acquitted on 19.11.2024 and since the petitioner has absconded and hence, he does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taken into account of the fact that despite move this court earlier twice for seeking of anticipatory bail but the



petitioner did not prefer to surrender and also absconded for more than 17 years, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the application stands rejected.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

