

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37416 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2026 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

=====

Dhirendra Kumar @ Dhirendra Kumar Yadav @ Dhirendra Yadav Son of
Rambalak Yadav Resident of village- Surhari, PS - Gaya Muffasil, Dist- Gaya
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Surabhi Nirmal, Advocate
		Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 109, 303(2), 324(4), 352, 351 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that he was constructing boundary wall on his ancestral land on
21.01.2026 at 01:30 p.m. and his brother (Santosh) along with
his wife (Sheetal) came with food. It is next alleged that the
named accused persons including the petitioner along with six
unknown came and petitioner along with Virendra demanded
extortion of Rs.10 lakhs in lieu of letting the informant
construct the boundary wall. Further, petitioner with hoe and



Virendra with an iron rod assaulted Santosh causing injury on head and Virendra snatched chain of Sheetal while other accused were breaking the boundary wall.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the boundary wall was being constructed on a government land by the informant, as such, it was objected, hence, an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Gaya Mufassil P.S. Case No. 76 of



2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section
438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

