

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36396 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2026 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

=====

Nikki Kaur @ Nikki Kour W/o Late Master Singh @ Sukhbinder Kour
Resident of village- Netaji Nagar Colony, D Block Khatal Durgapur, P.S.-
Vardhawan Sadar, District - Durgapur (West Bengal)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Piyush Parasar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 79, 111, 143(1), 145, 98, 296 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., Sections 10, 8 and 12 of the POCSO Act, Section 79 of the J.J. Act and Section 16 of the Bonded Labour System Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on the basis of secret information, a raid was conducted by the police and two minor girls were recovered from the spot. Upon inquiry from them, it was stated that the petitioner had brought them there, where they were residing and also dancing on vulgar songs.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



the informant of this case is a police officer and the matter relates to the recovery of two minor girls from an orchestra team and upon inquiry from them it was stated by them that the present petitioner was their paternal aunt and she had brought them there, where they were residing and also dancing on vulgar songs. It has also been stated that they were not being given enough remuneration and were also ill-treated. It is further submitted that from the F.I.R itself, it would be clear that the victim girls were related to the petitioner and she is a lady against whom there is no specific allegation of any sexual exploitation. It also appears from the bail rejection order that in the statement of the victim under Section 183 of the BNSS, it has only been stated that while the age of one girl was 15 years, other was 18 years of age and they were only engaged in dancing. Further, the petitioner is a 57 years old lady having no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 13.03.2026.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the grant of bail on the basis of allegations made in the First Information Report.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that there is no specific allegation of any sexual exploitation on the petitioner and she



also happens to be related to the victim girls, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Additional Sessions Judge (POCSO), Saran at Chapra/concerned Court below in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 33 of 2026.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Anand/Ayush/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

