

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36570 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-19 Year-2026 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

Manoj Kumar Verma S/o Shyam Lal Verma R/o Village- Newada, P.S.-
Rudhauri, District- Basti. Uttar Pradesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. State Vigilance Bureau Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Prabhakar Singh,Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Mohammad Sufyan,APP
For the Vigilance :	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-06-2026 Heard Mr.Prabhakar Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner, Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the Vigilance
and Mr.Mohammad Sufyan, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
17.03.2026 in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 19 of
2026, F.I.R. dated 13.02.2026 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 7(a) of the P.C.Act, 1988 (Amended
2018).

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he was
caught red handed while accepting the bribe amount of Rs.
17000/-.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. The allegation



as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. Further submits that the Vigilance, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner so custodian interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the present case and the petitioner is a contractual employee of the Education Department and as per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner has received the bribe amount of Rs. 17000/- from the informant and the same was recovered from possession of the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 17.03.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the Vigilance and learned APP for the State have opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has been caught red handed with the amount in question.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent and the chargesheet has been submitted in the present case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Vigilance, Patna in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 19 of 2026, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

