

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35608 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2026 Thana- MEHSI District- East Champaran

Raj Kumar Bhagat S/O Sri Ram Bhagat Resident of Village- Tajpur Bara,
Ward No. 10, P.S. - Mehshi, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Adarsh Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Harendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 21-05-2026 The matter has been taken up today through video conferencing.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mehshi PS Case No 77 of 2026 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126 (2), 115 (2), 109, 303 (2), 352, 351 (2), 3 (5) of the BNS.

4. As per the allegation, the petitioner along with co-accused Sriram Bhagat abused the informant stating that his wife is a Dayan. Thereafter, it is alleged that the petitioner and co-accused Sriram Bhagat started assaulting the informant with fists and legs while the petitioner is alleged to have assaulted by means of Farsa on the head of the informant injuring him while



co-accused Sriram Bhagat assaulted by means of iron rod on the thigh of the informant where after this petitioner is alleged to have snatched Rs 2,700/- from the informant.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted, referring to the impugned order as well as Annexure P/2, that the injury sustained by the informant is simple in nature. It has further been submitted that there is delay of three days in lodging the FIR without any plausible explanation. It has further been submitted that on the alleged date of occurrence, some scuffle took place between the parties and both sides received minor injuries but the members of the prosecution party, who were aggressor, came forward and lodged the present case. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner is accused in one another case but he is on bail in that case.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Heard the parties and perused the record.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, East Champaran at Motihari, in connection with Mehsi PS Case No 77 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharitya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita.

9. This application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

M.E.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--

