

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39340 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-580 Year-2025 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

Sudhanshu Kumar S/o Dipanarayan Yadav @ Deep Narayan Yadav R/o
Village - Bhain Tekathi, Ward No. 06, P.S - Sadar Madhepura, District -
Madhepura, State - Bihar, Pin - 852121

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kuldeep Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2026 Heard Mr. Kuldeep Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner as well as Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
09.01.2026 in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 580 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 07.12.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26, 27, 35 of the Arms Act, 1959.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioner along
with other accused persons were involved in committing the
murder of one Sudhanshu Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is



false and fabricated. He next submits that for the same set of allegation Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 567 of 2025 was instituted and nothing has been recovered from the house of the petitioner and on the basis of disclosure made by the petitioner, one loaded pistol along with 4 live bullets and one country made pistol with one live bullet was recovered from the place of occurrence. He next submits that seizure list witnesses are police personnel, so there is non-compliance of Section 103 of BNSS. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 09.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Supaul in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 580 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court



and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

