

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9256 of 2026

=====

Raju Pandit S/o Kishun Pandit @ Kishun Kumhar, resident of Village-Hardiya Sector-D, P.S.-Rajauli, District-Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Revenue, Settlement, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
4. The Director, Land Acquisition, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Division, Nawada.
6. The Executive Engineer, Irrigation Division, Rajauli, District-Nawada.
7. The Circle Officer, Rajauli, District-Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bhaskar Shankar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prashant Pratap, GP (02)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 Heard Mr.Bhaskar Shankar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) to issue a writ of Mandamus directing the
respondents to pay fair and adequate
compensation for the land measuring 27
decimals (including 11 decimals purchased
land) bearing Khata No. 6, Plot No. 97
along with residential structures;*



(ii) to direct the respondents to rehabilitate and resettle the petitioner and his family members;

(iii) to direct payment of interest on delayed compensation;

(iv) pass any other order (s) deemed fit in the interest of justice.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the appropriate authority for the redressal of his genuine grievance.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of with allowing the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority who shall be passing an order at the earliest in accordance with law.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

