

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35258 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-370 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRAKOTHI District- East Champaran

Mina Devi W/o Madan Sahani Resident of village - Panditpur, Malahi Tola,
P.S.- Piprakothi, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Nazir Ansari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 223, 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 132, 109, 352, 351(3) of the B.N.S. and Section 45 of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and the informant alleges that based on secret information, the house of Nagendra Sahani was raided as it was informed that he deals in liquor and when police was reached at the place of occurrence, it is alleged that 40-50 people gathered and they blocked the police from going to the house of Nagendra by



cutting tree and even assaulted the police force leading to injury and also damaged the police vehicle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner is not related to Nagendra and from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegations are general and omnibus in nature and the petitioner along with others came to be implicated at the instance of Chaukidar. It is also submitted that petitioner lives nearby to the place of occurrence as such out of inquisitiveness, she came to the place of occurrence to witness the ruckus and thus came to be implicated.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection



with Piprakothi P. S. Case No.370 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed her antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

