

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1930 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5048 of 2025

=====

Dhananjay Madhu, Son of Bhagwan Singh, Resident of Village- Shekhpura,
P.O- Nandlalbad, P.S.- Gaurichak, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Mihir Kumar Singh, The Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. S. Sidharth, The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Devesh Sehra/ Mr. Manoj Kumar, Secretary-cum-Second Appellate Authority Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Chandra Shekhar Singh, The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. Mr. Mohd Rashid Alam, The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Patna.
6. Mr. Sanjay Kumar, The District Education Officer, Patna.
7. Mr. Nalini Ranjan, The District Quality Monitor, Zila Parishad, Patna.
8. Mr. Manendra Kumar Singh, The Block Development Officer, Punpun, Patna.
9. Mr. Satish Kumar, The Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Punpun, District- Patna.
10. Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, The Panchayat Secretary Gram Panchayat Raj Baranwa, Punpun, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sushant Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Government Pleader 10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

8 03-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present contempt application has been filed for
non-compliance of the order dated 03.04.2025 passed in CWJC
No.5048 of 2025.



3. Learned counsel for the State has filed a supplementary show cause stating therein that in paragraph-3 of the show cause that the reasoned order has been annexed which is Annexure-1 to the supplementary show cause filed on behalf of opposite party No.4.

4. It appears from the record that the order of this Court has been complied with, so no case is made out for initiating the contempt proceedings.

5. Petitioner, if so advised, may challenge before the appropriate forum.

6. Accordingly, the present contempt application stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

