

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35328 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2026 Thana- GUTHANI District- Siwan

1. Tiger Jaiswal, aged about 26 years, Male, S/o Bipin Jaiswal @ Vipin Kalwar, R/o:- Daraila, P.S. Guthani, District-Siwan, Presently residing at Village-Aktahi, P.S. Bankata, District- Deoria (U.P.)

2. Dhoni Jaiswal, aged about 24 years, Male, S/o Akhilesh Jaiswal, R/o Village- Daraila, P.S. Guthani, District-Siwan (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priyanshu Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 06-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail registered for the offence punishable under Sections 307 and 331(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that certain unknown miscreants committed theft at the jewellery shop of the informant and have stolen 50 grams of gold ornaments, 2 kg of silver ornaments, and other jewellery articles.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this



case. He also submits that the FIR was lodged against unknown miscreants. In this case, the petitioner has given his confessional statement, and the name of the petitioner has surfaced on the basis of his self-confessional statement. Save and except the confessional statement, there is nothing against him. No recovery has been made from the possession of the petitioner; recovery, if any, has been made from the possession of one Prince Verma. He also submits that the name of petitioner no. 2 has also surfaced in the confessional statement of petitioner no. 1. He further submits that in this case, the learned trial court has rejected the bail of these petitioners with an observation that they may renew their prayer for bail after completion of the charge sheet. Learned counsel further submits that in this case, charge-sheet has been filed. Moreover, the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 01.04.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner no. 1 has got one criminal antecedent whereas petitioner no. 2 has got no criminal antecedent.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Siwan in connection with Guthani P.S. Case No. 62 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

