

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10386 of 2020

=====

Ranjeet Kumar Singh @ Chunnu Singh S/o Late Vinod Kumar Singh, R/o
Village- Baburban, P.O.- Taajpur, P.S.- Baruraj, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The A.D.G. of Police, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
7. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur.
8. The District Education Officer, Samastipur.
9. The Accountant General, Bihar, Veer Chand Patel Path, Patna.
10. Mr. Tribhuvan Narayan Singh @ Tuntun Singh S/o Late Tulsi Singh R/o Village- Baburban, P.O.- Taajpur, P.S.- Baruraj, Dist- Muzaffarpur, Presently posted as Havaladar in the Office of Inspector General of Police, Muzaffarpur.
11. The Head Master, Bhagwat High School, Birhima Bazar, Baruraj Police Station, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad, SC-8
For Accountant General	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Arun, Advocate
For Vigilance	:	Mr. Rana Vikram Singh, Law Officer

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 08-04-2026

Heard Mr. Purushottam Kumar Jha, learned Advocate for
the petitioner and Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad, SC-8 for the State.

2. This writ petition has been filed with the following



prayers :

(i) To hold and declare that the action of the Respondents concerned in not taking final decision in connection with the representation of the petitioner filed on 28.12.2019 (Annexure - 1), representations submitted on behalf of the petitioner dated 28.05.2020 (Annexure-3) & 22.06.2020 (Annexure 5), is highly illegal, arbitrary, malafide, malicious and unsustainable in the eye of law and on facts both and is fit to be deprecated by this Hon'ble Court in strong words.

(ii) For issuance of an order, direction or a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondents concerned to hold an enquiry as to the correctness of the Transfer Certificate dated 17.04.1975 issued in favour of the Respondent No. 10, wherein the date of birth of the Respondent No.10 has been shown as 06.07.1960.

AND CONSEQUENT UPON SUCH ENQUIRY AND UPON FINDING THE TRANSFER CERTIFICATE (ANNEXURE-2) OF THE RESPONDENT NO. 10 AS FORGED & FABRICATED

(iii) A writ in the nature of Mandamus may kindly be issued by this Hon'ble Court, commanding the Respondents concerned, specially the Respondent Nos. 2, 3, 5 & 6 to take all necessary steps for annulling the appointment of the Respondent No.



10 right from day one of his appointment as constable in Patna District Police, and in turn, the concerned Respondents may further be suitably directed to take all necessary steps for making the recovery of the amount so paid to the Respondent No. 10 under the head of Salary and other allowances, right from very inception of his appointment, since the Respondent No. 10 has managed to get himself appointed as a constable in Patna District Police (Brass No. 1987) on the basis of a forged & fabricated Transfer Certificate dated 17.04.1975 (Ann – 2).

3. The petitioner is stated to be the nephew of Respondent No. 10, who has filed this writ petition on 29.06.2020. It appears from the reliefs sought for by the petitioner as extracted hereinabove that the petitioner has a grievance with the appointment of Respondent No. 10 as Constable in Bihar Police on compassionate ground in the year 1989 on account of death of petitioner's father in harness.

4. Prayer No. (i) as extracted hereinabove is with regard to inaction of the respondent authorities on the representation submitted by the petitioner on 28.12.2019 (Annexure-1), 28.05.2020 (Annexure-3) and 22.06.2020 (Annexure-5) regarding the fraud played by the Respondent No. 10 for his appointment as Constable in Bihar Police on compassionate



ground in the year 1989. Prayer No. (ii) relates to the direction to the respondents to hold an enquiry as to the correctness of the transfer certificate dated 17.04.1975 issued in favour of the Respondent No. 10, wherein the date of birth of Respondent No. 10 has been shown as 06.07.1960 and finally by prayer No. (iii) the petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to take all steps for annulling the appointment of the Respondent No. 10 as Constable in Bihar Police and also to take all necessary steps for making recovery of the amount received by the Respondent No. 10 while working as Constable in Bihar Police.

5. The Respondent No. 10, who was appointed on 26.07.1989 as Constable in Bihar Police on compassionate ground on account of death of petitioner's father in harness, is stated to have retired on 17.03.2020.

6. The writ petition having been filed on 29.06.2020 after the retirement of Respondent No. 10 on 17.03.2020 as Constable in Bihar Police seeking annulment of the appointment of petitioner as Constable in Bihar Police made as back as on 26.07.1989, I am of the opinion that there has been inordinate delay in filing this writ petition by the petitioner with the above prayers and accordingly I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition and the same shall stand dismissed on the ground of



delay and laches.

7. The writ petition accordingly stands dismissed.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Narendra/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	09.04.2026
Transmission Date	

