

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10949 of 2026

1. Purushottam Pd. Rakesh Son of Late Yudhisthir Singh Resident of village- Galimapur, P.O.- Sikandarpur, Police Station- Sakurabad, District- Jehanabad.
2. Baikunth Prasad, Son of Late Bachhu Singh Resident of village- Galimapur, P.O.- Sikandarpur, Police Station- Sakurabad, District- Jehanabad.
3. Suman Kumar, Son of Amrendra Kumar Resident of village- Galimapur, P.O.- Sikandarpur, Police Station- Sakurabad, District- Jehanabad.
4. Anil Kumar, Son of Late Kedar Sharma Resident of village- Galimapur, P.O.- Sikandarpur, Police Station- Sakurabad, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Special Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Under Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate- cum- Collector, Jehanabad.
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reform, Jehanabad.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad.
7. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad.
8. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Jehanabad.
9. The Circle Officer, Ratnifaridpur Block, District- Jehanabad.
10. The Officer Incharge, Shakurabad Police Station, Ratnifaridpur Block, District- Jehanabad.
11. Binod Kumar, Son of Late Parsuram Sharma Resident of Village- Galimapur, Police Station- Sakurabad, District- Jehanabad.
12. Pramod Kumar, Son of Late Parsuram Sharma Resident of Village- Galimapur, Police Station- Sakurabad, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kamendra Pd. Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader (03)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 24-07-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

*“(i) for directing the respondents
for removal of the obstruction made by*



Respondent No.11 and 12 over the common Path (Rasta, Gali) from the plot no.170 pertaining to Khata No.41 which is being used by the petitioners and other villagers since 1960 and the PCC work over the common Path was done by the Govt. in the year 2020-21.

(ii) for directing the respondents to ensure free path for the villager by the petitioner with on any further hindrance.

(iii) for grant of any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioners are legally entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that a proper petition shall be filed before the respondent no. 8, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Jehanabad in next four weeks.

5. Learned State counsel submits that if such petition is preferred, it will be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest in accordance with law.

6. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioners to approach the respondent no. 8, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Jehanabad who shall be noticing the stakeholders including the respondent nos. 11 and 12 and see to it that within the time frame stipulated under the act, the petition



preferred by the petitioners shall be concluded.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

sanny/-

U			
---	--	--	--

