

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36091 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2025 Thana- TARARI District- Bhojpur

1. Vikrama Singh @ Bikrama Singh S/O Late Bhola Singh R/O Village and Post- Gahrua, P.S- Tarari, Distt.- Bhojpur, Bihar.
2. Phula Devi @ Fula Devi @ Shobha Devi W/O Vikrama Singh @ Bikrama Singh R/O Village and Post- Gahrua, P.S- Tarari, Distt.- Bhojpur, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Riya Raj, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 01-06-2026 The Court proceeding is being conducted through virtual mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

3. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Tarari P.S. Case No. 166 of 2025, lodged on 30.09.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 80, 238(a) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against five named accused persons including the present petitioners. The marriage of the informant's daughter solemnized with the petitioners' son on 28.05.2025. Subsequently, the demand of



dowry is there and the allegation of threat is also there in the FIR. On 26.09.2025, the husband of the deceased has called the informant that his daughter is ill and requested to reach the hospital and when they reached the hospital then they found that his daughter is not there. Thereafter, the informant with his son and wife went to her daughter's *sasural*, then they got information that all the accused persons fled away from the house.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Counsel submits that the entire allegation is false and the demand of motorcycle and money is also false, as the said motorcycle is registered in the name of informant's son. He submits that in this case, the husband of the deceased is already in custody since 15.10.2025 and the present petitioners are father in law and mother in law of the deceased, aged about 75 years and 66 years respectively. Counsel submits that with regard to their innocence, the representation has been made to the Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur at Ara. He submits that marriage card has been annexed as Annexure-P/2 by which it become crystal clear that the deceased visited to her *maika* one day before her death. He further submits that the petitioners



have clean antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners but fairly submits that the husband of the deceased is already in custody as per the pleading and the present petitioners are father in law and mother in law of the deceased, aged about 75 years and 66 years respectively.

7. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the above named petitioners be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) each as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of J.M.F.C., Bhojpur, Ara, in connection with Tarari P.S. Case No. 166 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

