

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34824 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-251 Year-2023 Thana- BOCHAHAN District- Muzaffarpur

Manish Singh @ Manish Kumar @ Manish Kumar Singh S/o Late
Sachidanand Singh Resident of Village- Balthi Rasulpur, P.S.- Bochaha @
Bochahan, District- Muzaffarpur, Mobile No. 7093304699

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar S.K, Advocate.
For the State : Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 324, 307,
379, 325, 447, 504, 506, 34 of the IPC.

3. As per the prosecution case, due to some land
dispute, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons
have assaulted the informant with iron rod due to which he got
injured.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
as many as five persons have been named in the first
information report as an accused although, it would be evident
from the F.I.R. itself that the parties to the proceeding are



patidars and had a dispute with regard to the land and tree, which resulted in the present occurrence. The specific allegation is upon one Randhir Kumar and the present petitioner and the allegation upon the petitioner is that he inflicted a blow by iron rod on the head of the informant which caused injury on the right forearm. The injury Report (Annexure P/4) indicates the presence of three injuries on the person of the informant and injury no. 3 seems to be attributable to the present petitioner which is in the form of swelling over right wrist. However, upon X-ray, a hair line fracture has been found and the nature of injury in general has been opined to be grievous in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

5. It is submitted that there is case and counter case and the case filed on the petitioner side is rather earlier in point of time (Annexure P/3) and the petitioner is also injured which would be evident from Annexure P/3. There is no allegation of assaulting on any vital portion of the body nor any allegation of repetition of blow, as such, Section 307 of the I.P.C. would not be attracted. The petitioner is languishing in custody since 16.03.2026 with no criminal antecedents.

6. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail



petition.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the land dispute between *patidars* and existence of case and counter case resulting in injuries on both the sides, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M. 9th (East), Muzaffarpur/concerned Court below in connection with Bochaha P.S. Case No. 251 of 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

priyanka/Nitu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

