

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8896 of 2025

=====

Tej Prakash Singh S/o Late Kailash Singh, Resident of village- Jigna, P.O. - Baraila, P.S. - Shivsagar, District- Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
3. The District Arms Magistrate, Rohtas.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas.
5. The Station House Officer, P.S. - Shivsagar, District - Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pranab Bardhan Mr.Prashant Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Additional Advocate General (5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-03-2026 In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“That the present writ application has been filed for issuance of appropriate writ or writs, direction or directions to the respondent authorities, a direction to the respondents to release Arms License i.e. License No.-01/2007 of Double barrel Gun, and also to release the Arms deposited by the petitioner in Shivsagar Police Station Rohtas., as the petitioner has been holder of Arms License since 2007 and from time to time has verified his arms license and never violated the condition of license as prescribed under Section 17 of the Arms Act, 1959 and under the prescribed Rules and/or, For issuance of appropriate consequential writ or writs under the facts and circumstances of the case.”



2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to notice as contained in Annexure-P/5, the petitioner is said to have deposited the arms *vide* Annexure-P/6 and appropriate response for delay and submission of arms has already been brought to the notice of District Arms Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram *vide* Annexure-P/7, P/8 & P/9 but for reasons unexplained, the arms so deposited has not been released.

3. Counsel for the State submits that since the appropriate response has already been filed by this petitioner pursuant to the notice issued and reasons for delay has already explained and as such, appropriate directions may be issued for passing necessary order with regard to release of the arms in question.

4. Considering the submission of the counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the State, this Court finds it appropriate that since the compliance of deposit of arms has already been made by this petitioner, and the delay occasioned in submission has also been explained, and if there is no other impediment in returning the arms to this petitioner then taking note of Para 9 of the counter affidavit filed by the Respondent No. 4 – Superintendent of Police, Rohtas at Sasaram, necessary orders shall be passed by the District Magistrate, Rohtas, within



a period of four weeks from today with regard to the release of arms in question.

5. With the above observations, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

abhishekk/-

U			
---	--	--	--

