

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34113 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-181 Year-2025 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

Sittu Singh Son of Jitendra Kumar Singh, Resident of Village- Satasi, P.S.-
Ishuapur, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANSUL
ORAL ORDER

2 21-05-2026 The matter was heard *via* video conferencing
mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Marhaura P.S. Case No. 181 of 2025, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 309(4) of the B.N.S., 2023. Later on
Section 317(3) of the B.N.S., 2023 was also added *vide* order
dated 04.04.2025.

4. As per prosecution case, while the informant was
returning home in a Toto along with her family members, two
miscreants riding a motorcycle intercepted their vehicle and
forcibly snatched informant and her family member's
ornaments, like ear-rings, nose-rings, *mangalsutra* and *dholna*
and fled away. It is further alleged that the same miscreants



riding the same motorcycle also looted ornaments of Preeti Devi and later on Kumkum Kumari and her sister-in-law Babita Devi.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and he was remanded in the present case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused Kali Singh. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner has antecedent of thirteen cases and he is in custody since 02.04.2025.

6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner carries criminal antecedent of thirteen cases and appears to be a habitual offender.

7. Considering the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, his confession in commission of the alleged occurrence and the informant as well as other witnesses supporting the prosecution case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

9. The petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after six months if the trial is not concluded.



10. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observation shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Ansul, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

