

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36098 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-377 Year-2022 Thana- AMNAUR District- Saran

Sonu Kumar Giri @ Sonu Giri Son of Late Mukti Nath Giri @ Mukti Ram
Giri Resident of Village- Dhoop Nagar, Dhobawal, P.S.- Khaira, District-
Saran (Chapra)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarendar Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Amnour No. 377 of 2022 instituted for the offence under
Sections 272, 273, 328, 307, 304, 120(B) & 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and Sections 30(a), 37(b), 33 & 34 of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act. Earlier vide order dated 20.11.2025,
passed in Cr. Misc. No. 44841 of 2025, regular bail of the
petitioner was rejected by this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
present one is the second attempt for grant of regular bail to the
petitioner. It is mainly submitted that charge in this case is
framed and till date, no witness has been examined. It has been



submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 31-05-2025, having two (2) criminal antecedents. Learned counsel further submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future. Learned counsel goes on to submit that the continued incarceration of the petitioner without conclusion of trial amounts to a gross violation of the fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, which is reiterated by Hon'ble Apex Court in plethora of judgments.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and taking into account the fact that continued detention of the petitioner would serve no purpose other than punitive pretrial incarceration, which is antithetical to the spirit of Article 21 of the Constitution of India, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Amnour No. 377 of



2022, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

