

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1918 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2025 Thana- Araria Sangram District- Madhubani

Md. Faiyajul Haque @ Md. Faiyazul Haque S/O Md. Mumtaz @ Mohmad
Mumataj R/O Village- Piprauliya, P.S.- Araria Sangram, Distt.- Madhubani.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Shiv Kumar Chaupal S/O Late Sitaram Chaupal R/O Village- Araria, Ward No.11, P.S.- Araria Sangram, Distt.- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Ali Muqtadir Ahmad
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Usha Kumari I
For the Informant	:	Ms. Richa Raj
		Mr. Rohit Raj

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 30-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. This appeal is preferred against the order dated 10.04.2026 passed by the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge, Madhubani in G.R. No. 1959 of 2025 in connection with Araria Sangram P.S. Case No. 77 of 2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 140(2), 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and under Section 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST Act. By the aforesaid order, the prayer for bail of the appellant has been rejected.

3. As per the prosecution case, the appellant and



others are alleged to have kidnapped the victim boy and killed him for ransom.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that he is innocent and has committed no offence. The appellant is in custody since 20.10.2025

5. The learned Spl. PP for the State and the learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer of the appellant.

6. I have considered the submissions of the parties and have gone through the records of the case. A 12-year-old boy was kidnapped and ransom of Rs. 25 lakh was demanded and upon non-fulfillment of the ransom amount the victim was killed. During investigation, materials have come against the appellant that he had participated in the alleged offence.

7. Considering the gravity of the offence and the materials available on record, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the appellant. Accordingly, this appeal stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Vikas/-

U			
---	--	--	--

