

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1115 of 2017

=====

Rabindra Kumar Das, Son of Singeshwar Das, Resident of Village-
Chandanpatti, Naya Tola, P.O.+P.S.- Sara Mohanpur, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department, Darbhanga.
4. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Work Division No. 2, Benipur, Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Archana Meenakchee, GP-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 05-01-2026 1. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned
counsel for the respondents is present.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following relief :-

*“1. That this is an application for
issuance of an appropriate writ, order or
direction commanding the respondents for
granting compassionate appointment to the
petitioner as father of the petitioner died on
18.02.2006, petitioner is disable 60%, no one
remains to look after his family, no other source
of income, facing monitory crises, represented
from pillar to post personally met, only
assurance given orally but till date nothing done
and/or for such other order/orders as your*



lordships may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of this case.”

3. No one appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the last two dates i.e. 14.11.2024 and 5.8.2025 also when the Court recorded the following orders :-

“14-11-2024

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Re-notify this case on 06.02.2025.

... ..

05-08-2025

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State is present.

2. It transpires to this Court that vide order dated 12.09.2017, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the case was dismissed for non-prosecution. It was subsequently restored vide order dated 16.05.2018 passed in MJC No. 344 of 2018. Thereafter, vide Order No. 3 dated 14.11.2024, again, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner, and today, even after repeated calls, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

3. As such, as a matter of last indulgence and in the interest of justice, matter has been adjourned for two weeks with a specific direction that if, on the next appointed date, no one appears



on behalf of the petitioner, appropriate orders shall be passed.

4. List this matter on 09.09.2025.

4. As no one appears on behalf of the petitioner on the third consecutive date, the case is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

