

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34104 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-243 Year-2025 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- East
Champaran

=====

Niraj Tiwari @ Niraj Kumar son of Arvind Tiwari Resident of village -
Madhubani, Ps- Sangrampur, Dist- East champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Suman Kumari Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 15-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 8, 20(B)(ii)(B) of the NDPS
Act and Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of
recovery of 5.04 litres of liquor along with 01 kg. of ganja from
a place near the house of Chandra Mohan Tiwari. It is next
submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the
FIR, it would manifest that it was at the behest of the petitioner
being an informer that the place of occurrence was raided from
where the alleged liquor and ganja was recovered but then



Chandra Mohan Tiwari disclosed that the ganja and the liquor belongs to the petitioner as such the petitioner came to be implicated and Chandra Mohan Tiwari was not even made an accused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that merely the statement of Chandra Mohan and Chaukidar the police implicated the petitioner when it was petitioner who had informed about the liquor and Ganja. It is also submitted that if bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence. It is next submitted that petitioner is in custody since 19.03.2026 and the alleged recovery of ganja is of small quantity.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Sangrampur P. S. Case No.243 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.



8. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after her release is trying the delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial in both the conditions, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

