

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.150 of 2018

-
1. Umda Devi and Ors Wife of Late Kapildeo Yadav,
 2. Sahdeo Yadav, Son of Late Choudhary Yadav,
 3. Pramod Yadav, Son of Late Uchit Yadav,
 4. Vinod Kumar @ Vinod Rai, Son of Late Amarnath Rai, All resident of Village- Narepur West, P.S. and Post- Bachhwara, Sub Registry- Teghara, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Collector, Begusarai.
3. The Additional Collector, Begusarai.
4. Circle Officer, Block- Bachhawara, District- Begusarai.
5. Randhir Yadav Settle of Jhamatia Ghat Mela, Son of Shiv Shankar Yadav, Jhamatia Ghat Meal, Resident of Village- Narepur West, P.S. and Post- Bachhawara, District- Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Radha Mohan Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha -Sc19

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 02-02-2026 Heard Mr. Radha Mohan Pandey, learned counsel for

the petitioners and the State.

2. The present application has been preferred:

*for issuance of an appropriate writ
order or direction for
cancellation/annulment of settlement of
Jhamatia Ghat Mela in the District
Begusarai settled erroneously and illegally
in favour of settlee Respondent No. 4 in the
year 2017 2018 without any notification or*



declaration by the competent authority that Jhamatia Ghat Mela is Mela under the Bihar State -Mela Authority Act, 2008.

3. The matter relates to a piece of land in the district of Begusarai and the grievance of the petitioners is/are that the respondents are conducting Jhamatia Ghat Mela there and making settlement completely blocking their movement/business.

4. The submission is that in the year 2017 itself, in Title Suit No. 92 of 2017 (Umda Devi & Ors. vs. Collector & Ors.), the Competent Court passed order in their favour, still no response.

5. The Co-ordinate Bench on 03.05.2018, directed the State to file affidavit. Today, on call, the contention is that the same be again adjourned for filing reply.

6. This Court has two options; either to allow the prayer of the State after imposing cost of Rs. 5,000/- and/or to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the petitioner to move before the Collector, Begusarai (respondent no. 2) in next four weeks.

7. Needless to add, if a proper petition with all the supporting documents is/are filed, the Collector, Begusarai is duty-bound to take the matter to its logical conclusion



preferably within a period of six months from the date the representation is received in the office.

8. The writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

