

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34065 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-566 Year-2025 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

Md. Rustam Ansari Son of Md. Samsuddin Ansari @ Md. Samsuddin,
Resident of Village- Paharpur, P.S.- Jhajha, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANSUL
ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2026 The matter was heard *via* video conferencing mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No. 566 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1-b), 25(1)(a), 25(1-AA) and 35 of the Arms Act.

4. As per prosecution case, on receiving information about arms and ammunition being manufactured in the house of the petitioner, the Police party raided the house of the petitioner and apprehended him. A search was conducted and 32 machine tools for manufacturing fire-arms were recovered from one room of petitioner's house along with one Ape Xtra Deluxe Auto which was without registration number.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner runs a shop of engineering works in his house and the said machine/tools which have been seized by the Police are used for genuine work and are not used for illegal works or for manufacturing incriminating articles. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner has one criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 12.02.2026 in the present case, although he is in jail since 29.11.2025 in connection with Surajgarha P.S. Case No. 323 of 2025.

6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that various machine tools for manufacturing fire-arms were recovered from the house of the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner has one criminal antecedent of similar nature. In paragraph no. 8 of the case diary, the wife of the petitioner has herself stated that in the night her husband with the help of a worker used to make something illegal in a room. The co-accused Rafiq also revealed that both of them were jointly engaged in the illegal manufacturing of arms.

7. Considering the nature of allegation and also



considering the previous criminal antecedent of the petitioner which is of similar nature, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

9. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest.

10. It is made clear that any observation made herein is prima facie in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observation shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Ansul, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

