

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8690 of 2025

-
1. Prem Kumar Sinha Son of Late Ayodhya Sinha, resident of village- Gawandra, Ward No. 8, P.S.- Chakia, District- East Champaran. At present resident of 3f-401, Gurjinder Vihar, Sector Chi-1, Greater Noida, Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh.
 2. Rakesh Kumar, Son of Late Ayodhya Sinha, resident of village- Gawandra, Ward No. 8, P.S. - Chakia, District - East Champaran. At present resident of 3t-408, Gurjinder Vihar, Sector Chi-1, Greater Noida, Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari.
2. The Additional Collector, East Champaran, Motihari.
3. The Deputy Collector, Chakia, East Champaran.
4. The Circle Officer, Kalyanpur Block, East Champaran.
5. The Deputy Registrar (Land Registration), Kesariya, East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajan Prakash, AC to GA-2

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 27-07-2026 Heard Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“for issuance of a writ in the nature of
Mandamus commanding the respondent authorities
to remove the ancestral land of the petitioners from
the list of restricted categories of land which*



cannot be registered without prior approval of the respondents and for issuance any other appropriate writ order and direction as may be deemed fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case.”

3. A counter-affidavit has been filed and disputes the contention of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the case of his brother, Kanhaiya Kumar Sinha, he was allowed to execute registered sale deed in the year 2007 in favour of Shri Rama Raman Sharma and this followed the mutation of the land vide Jamabandi No. 2220. The same has been annexed with the supplementary affidavit as Annexure - P/23 and P/24.

5. Learned State counsel submits that all this facts have never been presented before the Collector, East Champaran, Motihari.

6. Though the facts are there, it has been countered by the State, in view of the specific averment made in the supplementary affidavit regarding his brother, it would be appropriate that the Collector, East Champaran, Motihari take a look in the present case, get report from the revenue authorities and after hearing the parties, it be taken to its logical conclusion



and the entire process is to be completed by 31st of December, 2026.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

