

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34566 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-262 Year-2025 Thana- PUNAURA District- Sitamarhi

=====

Ankit Kumar S/o Mohan Sah R/o Village- Ranjitpur, Ward No.- 10, P.S.-  
Punaura, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**

ORAL ORDER

2      19-05-2026                      Heard Mr.Santosh Kumar, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP for the  
  
State through virtual mode.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection  
with Punaura P.S. Case No. 262/2025 instituted under Sections  
126(2), 115(2), 109, 74, 118(1), 3(5) of BNS lodged on  
20.12.2025 by the informant, Rohit Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged  
that this petitioner twice assaulted the family members. Firstly,  
on 15.12.2025 when he assaulted the informant on the head and  
secondly, on 16.12.2025 when he resorted to assault his brother  
Vikas Kumar. Further, one, Anish gave knife blow in his  
stomach. He was rushed to Sadar Hospital and then referred to  
SKMCH, Muzaffarpur and thereafter, he was taken to a private



nursing home at Lohiya Nagar where he was admitted in ICU.  
That followed the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is delay in lodging of the FIR, both are agnates and there is land dispute between the parties.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that the firstly he assaulted the informant on 15.12.2025 on the head, and secondly, very next day, his brother Vikas Kumar. The fact remains that the learned Sessions Judge order shows that the injury has been found to be grievous in nature and the same cannot be ignored.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the allegation that has come against the petitioner, no relief can be extended.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/S.Prasad

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

